

**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
METCALFE COUNTY
SHERIFF**

**For The Year Ended
December 31, 2002**



**EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
www.kyauditor.net**

**144 CAPITOL ANNEX
FRANKFORT, KY 40601
TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841
FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912**



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky

Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor

Gordon C. Duke, Secretary

Finance and Administration Cabinet

Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet

Honorable D. M. Butler II, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive

Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff

Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Kapp & Company, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Sheriff of Metcalfe County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2002.

We engaged Kapp & Company, PLLC, to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Kapp & Company, PLLC, evaluated the Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.
Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE METCALFE COUNTY SHERIFF

**For The Year Ended
December 31, 2002**

Kapp & Company, PLLC, has completed the Metcalfe County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2002. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees decreased by \$70,074 from the prior calendar year, resulting in excess fees of \$71,920 as of December 31, 2002. Revenues decreased by \$28,065 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$31,136. The Sheriff's department was fee-pooling until July, 2002.

Report Comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES.....	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	7
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9



Kapp & Company, PLLC
Certified Public Accountants &
Business Advisors

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable D. M. Butler II, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Metcalfe County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2002. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 29, 2003, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable D. M. Butler II, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kapp & Company, PLLC".

Kapp & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -
August 29, 2003

METCALFE COUNTY
 RONDAL SHIRLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

Receipts

State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund	\$	6,046
State Fees For Services:		
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$	2,800
Cabinet For Human Resources		590
		3,390
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Sheriff Security Service	\$	6,840
Fines and Fees Collected		2,389
		9,229
Fiscal Court		42,589
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		827
Commission On Taxes Collected		64,018
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	\$	5,210
Accident and Police Reports		542
Serving Papers		9,060
Dog License		136
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		2,775
		17,723
Other:		
County Fees-Civil Papers	\$	4,520
Sheriff's Add-On Fee		17,119
Miscellaneous		266
		21,905
Interest Earned		101
Borrowed Money:		
State Advancement		15,180
Total Receipts	\$	181,008

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

METCALFE COUNTY
 RONDAL SHIRLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF
 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES
 For The Year Ended December 31, 2002
 (Continued)

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements:

Personnel Services-

Deputies' Salaries	\$	13,456
Other Salaries		6,728
KLEFPF		3,668

Contracted Services-

Advertising		41
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs		1,193

Materials and Supplies-

Office Materials and Supplies		697
Uniforms		185

Auto Expense-

Gasoline		3,923
Maintenance and Repairs		263

Other Charges-

Serving Papers		1,560
Dues		603
Postage		2,033
Dog Licenses		609
Refunds		138
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		495
Miscellaneous		504

\$ 36,096

Debt Service:

State Advancement		15,180
-------------------	--	--------

Total Disbursements

\$ 51,276

Net Receipts

\$ 129,732

Less: Statutory Maximum

57,812

Excess Fees Due County for 2002

\$ 71,920

Payment to County Treasurer

60,737

Balance Due at Completion of Audit

\$ 11,183

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

METCALFE COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2002

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2002.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year. The Sheriff's department was fee-pooling until July 2002.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.41 percent for the first six months of the year and 6.34 percent for the last six months of the year.

METCALFE COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
December 31, 2002
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. .

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2002, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

METCALFE COUNTY
RONDAL SHIRLEY, COUNTY SHERIFF
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2002

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size and budget restrictions, the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. The Sheriff has primarily assigned one deputy to perform all of the accounting functions of the office. We recommend that the Sheriff or someone else periodically review this work in order to create compensating controls to offset this internal control weakness. Examples of compensating controls are: 1) comparing source documents to the receipts and disbursements ledgers and then to the monthly reports; 2) having deposits compared to the receipts ledger; and 3) comparing checks to invoices and claims before they are mailed.

Sheriff's Response:

None.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL
REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Kapp & Company, PLLC

Certified Public Accountants &
Business Advisors

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable D. M. Butler II, Metcalfe County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rondal Shirley, Metcalfe County Sheriff
Members of the Metcalfe County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Metcalfe County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated August 29, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Metcalfe County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2002, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Metcalfe County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Kapp & Company, PLLC". The script is cursive and fluid.

Kapp & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -
August 29, 2003

